

Coordinating and integRating state-of-the-art Earth Observation Activities in the regions of North Africa, Middle East and Balkans and Developing Links with GEO related intiatives toward GEOSS

**GEO-CRADLE Workshop & Project Meeting Limassol** 

#### Task 3.1 – Gap Analysis

Igor Milosavljevic





#### **Position in Project**

#### Methodology

- Input
- Framework
- Indicators

Results

5 typologies identified

**Correlation with Maturity** 





#### Position in GEO-CRADLE







# Total Responses: 260

Balkans: 183 North Africa: 59 Middle East: 15







#### Gap Analysis Framework

- **Geographic** Spatial discrepancy in the coverage of the observation system in regards to availability of data and its quality.
- **Observational** Technologies and system for EO are not available or insufficient to provide the data and quality needed.
- **Structural** The connectivity and ability of data to flow freely within organizations or networks.
- Qualitative/quantitative- EO products are available but not of sufficient timeliness, frequency or quality to be of use.
- **Capacity** EO products are available but there is insufficient technical capacity in regards to infrastructure and personnel to make use of it.





- 41 Indicators across the value chain
- Data availability (real time, upon request, archives)
- Data policy (free and open, commercial, restricted, etc.)
- Temporal resolution
- Number of geoportals used by end-users
- Coordination with decision makers
- Number of organizations with modelling and processing facilities
- Range of satellite coverage
- Etc.



#### Start with end-user needs, and successively go through categories of EO capacity



#### **5** Types Identified

1. Non-EU Balkan states Albania, FYROM, Serbia 2. EU Balkan states Bulgaria, Romania, Cyprus, Greece 3. Low influence of EU in EO dev. Tunisia, Egypt, Turkey 4. Advanced EO Ecosystem Israel 5. Rápid up-starters **UAE and Saudi Arabia** 



## Results – Albania, FYROM & Serbia



- Basic space-borne capacities
- Dominated by public sector
- In-situ networks need further development
- Modelling & processing can benefit from higher quality/quantity of data
- Large structural gaps
- INSPIRE being implemented
- Structural and Capacity gaps aggravated by financial crisis and budget tightening
- EU funds support capacity building and equipment



## Results – Bulgaria, Romania, Cyprus, Greece

- All have space-capacities & formal ties to ESA
- INSPIRE implemented but structural gaps are still large in Romania and Bulgaria
- End-users have more specific data needs than previous typology
- Capacity gaps: financial crisis and budget tightening
- Private sector in RO and GR diversified away from only servicing the public sector





# Results – Egypt, Tunisia and Turkey



- All have space strategies, Egypt & Turkey have launched own EO satellites
- Public sector dominant
- Turkey EO sector has very advanced capacities
- Major structural gaps in Egypt and Tunisia
- Capacity gaps in Egypt and Tunisia (insufficient personnel and expertise), aggravated by budget cuts
- Observational gap identified in Tunisia: in-situ networks operate mostly at the local level and are not integrated



- Highly developed EO capacities
- Operate EO satellites
- Advanced use/application in public and private sector
- Specialize in micro/nanosatellites for global market





#### Results – UAE & Saudi Arabia



- High funding available, recently downscaling and freezing projects following drop in oil prices and fiscal consolidation
- Space agencies
- Dominated by public sector, research and public companies
- Low data sharing due to bureaucratic barriers, depends on personal relationships
- Saudi Arabia
  - burdensome bureaucratic and import procedures complicate sourcing of equipment
  - No access to EU funding
- UAE
  - Plan to launch sat to study Mars atmosphere by 2020
  - Depend on foreign experts, problem of retention
  - At local level, streamline use of EO for decision making but not at the federal level



## **Correlation between Gaps and Maturity**

- EO maturity leads to systemic changes in capacities and needs
- General  $\rightarrow$  Specific needs
- EU membership: positive effect on EO capacities
  - Finance
  - Connectivity
  - Coordinated effort
  - Legal frameworks
- Vulnerability of public sector to economic/political instability



# Thank you milosavljevic@inosens.rs